Committee: Children and Young People Overview and

**Scrutiny Panel** 

Date: 13<sup>th</sup> September 2012

Agenda item: **8** Wards: All Wards

**Subject: Performance Monitoring** 

Lead officer: Paul Ballatt (Children, Schools and Families), 020 8545 4066

Lead members: Councillor Maxi Martin Forward Plan reference number: N/A

Contact officer: Michael Sutherland; 020 8545 4090

michael.sutherland@merton.gov.uk

#### **Recommendations:**

A. That the Children and Young People's Overview and Scrutiny Panel discuss and comment on the current levels of performance set out in the attached report.

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 To provide the Overview and Scrutiny Panel with regular data on the performance of Children, Schools and Families Department and key partners.

### 2. DETAILS

- 2.1 At the Children and Young People Scrutiny Panel meeting on 5th June 2007 it was agreed that the Children, Schools and Families Department would submit a regular performance report on a range of key performance indicators. This would act as a 'health check' for the panel and would be over and above more detailed performance reports relating to specific areas of the Department's activities eg annual school standards report; safeguarding performance reports which the panel would continue to receive.
- 2.2 This indicator set is subject to review, and is the 3<sup>rd</sup> version of the index. It reports data as available at the end of the July 2012.

#### Children's Social Care

## Lines 2 and 3 Initial and Core Assessment completion rates

Timeliness and quality of assessments are both critical factors - implementation of the Munro proposals require a greater focus on quality of assessments and interventions rather than a simplistic focus on completion rates. That being said we have continued to press for improvements in timeliness and so far in 2012/13 there has been a rise in completion rates within prescribed timescales for assessments compared to the previous year, with initial assessments at 85% and the core assessments at 77%. Although ambitious forward targets have been set this year for initial and core assessments, managers will continue to

strive for a balance of quality and timeliness. It is interesting to note that some higher performing boroughs on these specific measures have had poorer inspection outcomes than Merton with quality of assessment and intervention at the core of their weaknesses.

# Line 5 NI 67 % of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans

A single review was missed by one day, this was due to an administrative error.

# Line 13 NI 63 - Stability of placements of Children in care - length of placement

The current snapshot shows performance below the end of year target. The Looked After Children Team and the newly established Access to Resources service are working closely together to improve both the stability and quality of placements, including a drive to improve foster carer recruitment to increase the choice of placements and range of skills available.

# Line 14 NI 66 - Children in care cases which were reviewed within required timescales

2 reviews were late earlier in the year, due to administrative errors. Currently an administration review is underway and we will be examining most effective ways of delivering this function.

## Line 15 % of Children in care participating in their reviews

Participation rates are below ambitious targets set. The vacant post of LAC participation officer is now filled and performance in this area is expected to improve.

### **Youth Inclusion**

# **Line 19 - Young Offenders NEET rate**

The increase in the rate during this last quarter can be attributed to the overall fall in the numbers of young people known to the Merton Youth Justice Service, from an average of 125 to an average of 95, with the level of NEETs remaining at the same level. Also during this quarter we went through a review and reclassification of those young people deemed to be NEET and along with two young people dropping out of a NEET course, may have also impacted on this (slight) rise in rate. This will be monitored in the forthcoming months to confirm if it is a 'spike' or a 'trend'.

## **Education and Early Years**

### Line 22 and 23 Secondary School Persistent absence 20% threshold

This measure has been replaced and a new threshold of 15% is in place. In the 10/11 academic year the PA rate (for the 15% threshold) for Merton schools was 8.5%

## **Line 31 Number of managed moves – Primary**

This data has been supplied for the first time. In the previous academic year there were 4 managed moves. This academic year (2011-12) there were a further 4 managed moves.

### Line 33 Statements issued within 26 weeks with and without exceptions

Statutory assessment completion with exceptions has been more challenging to achieve in light of reliance on health for reports as part of the statutory deadline and current difficulties in having reports being returned within the 6 week deadline. Action is being taken to engage with health in order to seek a solution to overcome current dip in achieving targets.

#### **Line 39 Road Accidents**

There has been an increase in the number of children and young people involved in road accidents from 2010 to 2011. This will be addressed by a systematic programme of road safety training and education to reduce casualties.

#### 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1 The Panel's scrutiny work programme is determined by the members of the Panel

#### 4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

**4.1** The Panel has agreed to consider the performance report on an annual basis

#### 5. TIMETABLE

5.1 None relating to this covering report

## 6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 None relating to this covering report

#### 7. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1 None relating to this covering report

## 8. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1 None relating to this covering report

#### 9. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1 None relating to this covering report

#### 10. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 None relating to this covering report

# 11. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

Appendix 1 Performance data sheet

## 12. BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1. None

This page is intentionally blank

Measure being re YTD Snapshol YTD Notes YTD YTD ∤ Traffic Light Green NTS NTS Ν 85% 77% 100% 99% 99% 97% 100% 39.59 0 0 0 3 64% 64% 98% 98% 72 53 100% N/A 25 July Quarter 1 81% 71% 100% 100% 99% 99% 100% 40.05 100% 98% 91% 100% 91.0% 50 33 100% 40.5% N/A 20 13.5% 460 81% 71% 100% 99% 99% 100% 0 0 0 0 0 10 100% 98% 99% June 33 100% N/A 460 0.14% (0) 0.13% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0) 83% 83% 100% 100% 98% 29.34 100% 70% 70% 89% 89% 36 19 100% May N/A 13 10 100% April N/A 2011-12 4.6%\*
13%\*
12.9%\*
0.79%\*
0.31%\*
0.00,(0)\* 86.7% 82.6% 519 638 63% 100% 99% 93% 83% 100% 100% 3 0.04 14% 68% 68% 68% 68% 109 10% 2030 15 Target 2012-2013 N/A 90% 80% 100% 100% N/A N/A N/A 11% 11% 100% 100% 100% 100% 22 N/A 0% (0) N/A 100% 95% 520 100% 73% 125 10% 1800 20 N/A N/A Provision of Short Breaks - cumulative
SEN Statements Applied for (No. of Referrals Received for Assessment Panel)
SEN Statements Issued
37 Childrens Centres Inspections good or outstanding
Number of 0-4 year olds accessing childrens centre services from deprived area (IDACI bottom 30%) Education including early years

22 Secondary School Persistent absence (LA) 20% threshold

23 Secondary School Persistent absence (includes academies), 20% threshold

24 % of Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Secondary

25 % of BME Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Secondary

26 % of Pupil Exclusions Fixed - Secondary

27 Number/% of Permanent Exclusions - Secondary

28 Number/% of Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Secondary

29 Number/% of Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Primary

30 Number/% of BME Pupil Exclusions Permanent - Primary

31 Number of Managed moves - Primary

32 Statements issued within 26 weeks without exceptions

33 Statements issued within 26 weeks with and without exceptions

34 Pervision of Stord Becker Secondary

35 Provision of Stord Becker Secondary

36 Pervision of Stord Becker Secondary

37 Pervision of Stord Becker Secondary Youth Inclusion

Youth Inclusion

17 NI 19 – Resease of Re-offending per 100 offenders

18 Number of First Time Entrants to the Youth Justice System (aged 10-17) - Cum

19 Young Offenders NEET rate

20 Youth Service: Participation - Cumulation

21 Youth Justice Company 7 NI 65 - % of Children that became the subject of a CP Plan for the Second or s

8 % of Children in Care with allocated social worker

9 Children in Care rate per 10,000

10 Number of Special Guardianship Orders

11 Number of Adoptions

12 NI 62 - Stability of placements of Children in care - Inapth of placement

13 NI 63 - Stability of placements of Children in care - length of placement

14 NI 66 - Children in care cases which were reviewed within required timescales Childrens Social Care

Numbers of CAFs

2 % of initial assessments completed within 10 days

3 % of core assessments completed within 35 days

4 % of Children with a Child Protection Plan with allocated a social worker

5 NI 67 % of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans visits due completed on time (6 % of Children with Child Protection Plans visits due completed on time (6 % of Children with Child Protection Plans visits due completed on time (7 NI 65 - % of Children hat became the subject of a CP Plan for the Secondary American Ameri NI 66 - Children in care cases which were reviewed within required tim
% of Children in care participating in their reviews
NI 61 - Timeliness of adoption placements post best interest decision Road Accidents
39 CYP Road accidents

CYP Overview and Scrutiny Panel - Performance Index September

NTS No target set YTD Year to date

\* 10/11 Academic Year